



Results on Laser Plasma Generation at MPP

J. Moody, E. Oz, M. Martyanov, A. Joulaei, P. Muggli, A. Caldwell









Photoionization Requirements for AWAKE



Ionization laser must do three things:

- Provide a singly ionized plasma from the Rb vapor that has a density profile identical to that of the vapor
- The radial extent of the plasma must be greater than trajectory of plasma electrons
- Seed the Self Modulation Instability (SMI) by turning on the plasma at a timescale at or shorter than the plasma period in the middle of the proton beam





Self Modulation of Proton Beam



- Laser is set near the peak in time of the proton beam
- Ionization occurs in timescale less than plasma period
- Sudden change in plasma density seeds self modulation instability







Statement of the Problem for Ionization of Rb Vapor and Laser Propagation



Objective

(Werner-Heisenberg-Institut)

To understand over source on a timescale of ~100ps timescale:

- Electron density, ρ_e
- Laser field, E

 $D = \varepsilon_0 E^2$



→ Average 'macroscopic' response of bound electrons





Laser Field Wave Equation



Max-Planck-Institut für Physik (Werner-Heisenberg-Institut)



• As a simple model we can ignore the div P term and source terms.

$$\vec{\mathbf{P}} = \varepsilon_0 \left(\chi^{(1)} \vec{\mathbf{E}} + \chi^{(2)} \vec{\mathbf{E}} \vec{\mathbf{E}} + \dots \right)$$

$$\chi_{bound} = \frac{Ne^2}{m\varepsilon_0} \left(\frac{f_1}{\omega_{01}^2 - \omega^2 - i\Gamma_1\omega} + \frac{f_2}{\omega_{02}^2 - \omega^2 - i\Gamma_2\omega} \right)$$





Material Dispersion Responses



- Lorentz Model for bound states
- Drude model for free charges
- Damping based upon energy losses
- Need to track densities for ionized states
- Then can input these values dynamically into propagation model







Timescale for Ionization Set by Keldysh (Adiabaticity) Parameter

Keldysh Parameter compares electron dynamic timescale to laser field period

$$I = \frac{U_{Laser}}{\pi \sigma_t w^2} \qquad E = \sqrt{\frac{2I}{c\varepsilon_0}} = \sqrt{2IZ_0}$$

$$\gamma = \frac{\omega}{\omega_{t}} = \frac{\omega\sqrt{2mU_{I}}}{eE} = \frac{1}{2K_{0}F}$$

1. Popov, Vladimir S. "Tunnel and multiphoton ionization of atoms and ions in a strong laser field (Keldysh theory)." *Physics-Uspekhi* 47.9 (2004): 855.









- Leading edge of the pulse ionizes or saturates the transition
- Most of the pulse travels through plasma, samples plasma dispersion, which has a differential index on the scale of 10⁻⁸

Expect no pulse stretching of most of pulse!!!





Pulse width (no Rb) = 100 fs FWHM Diameter = 1.5 mm

 $Z_r=2 m$

Avg Intensity at entrance: ~20 TW/cm² Keldysh parameter: 1.36 W_{MP}~10¹⁵s⁻¹ Order 1 transition in fs timescale





Effective propagation of the 10 mm beam to the folding mirror : 2,3 m

Ø 33,6 mm

4th order supergaussian beam profile on lens 3 Fmax = 120 mJ/cm² for E = 650 mJ at 0°incidence Propagation of an actual beam profile



Input of the zoom



Propagated beam profile on the folding mirror Compressor input energy 650 mJ → 455 mJ at the output for 70 % transmission O°incidence : Fmax = 290 mJ/cm² 45°incidence : Fmax = 205 mJ/cm²



Folding mirror plane



FROM AMPLITUDE TECHNOLOGIES



Max-Planck-Institut für Physik

Laser Lab at MPP







100uJ Pulse No Rb

Spatial Dimension (arb)

10mJ Pulse Power with Rb

SHG Intensity AutoCorrelatonck-GESELLSCHAFT



Laser Parameters from MPP Max-Planck-Institut für Physik OSAT (On Site Acceptance Test)

Erbidum Doped Fiber Oscillator used for stability

Chirped Pulse Amplification

Final energy lower than maximum due to in-air compression

Performance	Specified value	Measured value
Repetition rate	10 Hz	10 Hz
Central wavelength	Tunable from 780nm to 785nm	780nm to 785nm
Spectrum bandwidth	≥ 10nm	24nm
Pulse Duration	100 to 120 fs	81 fs
Main output Energy (uncompressed)	>650 mJ	663 mJ
Secondary output energy (uncompressed)	>2,5 mJ	3.0 mJ
Main Output energy (after compression)	≥250 mJ @785 nm	250 mJ with attenuator @ 50%
Energy stability	≤ 1,5 % RMS	1.02%
Beam pointing stability	<20 µrad	4.2 μrad
Temporal intensity contrast	≤10 ⁻⁴ @ 10 ps	2.10 ⁻⁷
	≤10 ⁻⁶ @ >50 ps (ASE level)	2.10'7
	≤10 ⁻³ (replica)	7.9 10 ⁻⁴
Polarization of the output beam (Linear)	100:1	250 :1

Additionnal Measurement	Measured value
M ²	$M^{2}_{X} = 1.22$ $M^{2}_{Y} = 1.29$



A WAKE











(Werner-Heisenberg-Institut)



- Demonstrate that pulse doesn't stretch in Rb plasma at AWAKE level intensities
 - Autocorrelator measurements
- Show that ionization takes place
 - Examination of light spectrum
- Look for any change to the transverse profile
 Bleed camera downstream





Rb Sources





Heat pipe Oven

- Control of Rb vapor density through temperature
- Can easily get to 10¹⁵ cm⁻³ densities
- Heat pipe oven has 4 independent heaters with excellent insulation
- Uniform plasma but... no side ports!!
- Need to extract information about interactions from Rb from:
 - Laser pulse
 - Residual light (recombination, fluorescence)



3.5 cm Rb cell









Max-Planck-Institut für Physik

(Werner-Heisenberg-Institut)

Demonstration of No Pulse

Stretching

Spot size is set by aperture

AWAKE







Autocorrelation image with focusing (5m lens)

Autocorrelation image no focusing

NO PULSE BROADENING OBSERVED!!! Ipeak~1TW/cm^2







- The 420 nm line shows that a large population is being excited to at least the 5D state
- 420 emission comes from the 6p->5s state







Potential Demonstration of Ionization (Not Conclusion)



Higher resolution grating used to search for lines above the 5D states

These higher states are not resonant, and are likely to fall from continuum.





IIVAKE
1

- We have demonstrated no detectable pulse broadening at and below intensity values for AWAKE
 - Could be all population is in second excited state: NO Anomalous dispersion (Good news for AWAKE)
- We examined high resolution spectrum for evidence of ionization. Found emission from states above 5D, likely to have been populated by falling from continuum.
- Some data left to process but qualitatively it seems that transverse mode is not affected at this scale.
- Laser now is at CERN, full scale ionization testing can be attempted after full commissioning of plasma cell and laser. (Vapor installation source dependent)





Open Problems / Questions



- How do we demonstrate that we have >99.9% single ionization of the Rb vapor?
 - We have yet to find a definitive diagnostic for this
- What is the laser pulse speed travelling through the vapor at TW/cm² ?
 - If laser pulse falls behind proton beam seed dephases
 - We can measure this with output and cross correlation potentially
- What is the best numerical model to perform the propagation?
 - Is split step sufficient? (FT's seem not to do well with the sharp change in index)
 - Could FDTD codes work (typically numerically unstable)

