time scales and the 'cold nuclear matter' baseline

- the statistical hadronization model
- results for SPS and RHIC energies
- FAIR energy
- Plans and results for LHC energy

work based on collaboration with A. Andronic, K. Redlich, and J. Stachel

HCBM workshop

Budapest, Aug. 17, 2010

FIAS-Frankfurt







Charmonium as a probe for the properties of the QGP

the main idea: implant charmonia into the QGP and observe their modification, in terms of suppressed (or enhanced) production in nucleus-nucleus collisions with or without plasma formation

recent reviews: L. Kluberg and H. Satz, arXiv:0901.3831

pbm and J. Stachel, arXiv:0901.2500

both published in Landoldt-Boernstein Review, R. Stock, editor, Springer 2010



Survival of Quarkonia in the QGP

new development: J/ ψ does not survive above T_c

predicted quarkonium dissociation temperatures

in the QGP

A. Mocsy & P. Petreczky, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99 (2007) 211602



expect all charmonia to be destroyed by QGP but: regeneration at the phase boundary!



UNIVERSITĂT

J/psi/cc_bar cross section

about 1 % of cc_bar pairs end up in J/psi variation reflects uncertainty in open

charm cross section?





Remarks on production of open charm and charmonia

- charm quark mass >> Λ_{QCD} production described in QCD perturbation theory
- all calculations employ gluon fusion as starting point
- argument is energy independent until global energy conservation very close to threshold becomes important
- production of charm quark pairs takes place at timescale $1/2m_c$ $m_c = 1.3 \text{ GeV} \longrightarrow t_c = 0.08 \text{ fm}$
- to build up wave function of mesons including those with open charm needs about t = 1fm --> charm production and charmed hadron formation are decoupled
- overall cross section is due to production of charm quark pairs
- time scale is much too short to dress the charm quarks essential to take current quarks for production



Formation time of quarkonia

heavy quark velocity in charmonium rest frame:

v = 0.55 for J/ ψ see, e.g. G.T. Bodwin et al., hep-ph/0611002

minimum formation time: t = radius/v = 0.45 fm

see also: Huefner, Ivanov, Kopeliovich, and Tarasov, Phys. Rev. D62 (2000) 094022; J.P. Blaizot and J.Y. Ollitrault, Phys. Rev. D39 (1989) 232 **formation time of order 1 fm**

formation time is not short compared to plasma formation time especially at high energy



Time scales continued

at LHC energies, even the color octet state is not formed before the QGP

0.05 fm			0.25 fm			
hard		pre-res	sonance	1	resonance	
τ	c <u>c</u> =]	l/2m _c	τ_8	=1/√2	$2m_c \Lambda_{qcd}$	

from H. Satz, J. Phys. G32 (2006) R25



formation and destruction of J/ ψ (charmed hadrons)

- QGP formation time, t_{QGP}
 - FAIR, SPS: $t_{QGP} \simeq 1 \; {\rm fm/c} \sim t_{J/\psi}$
 - $-\,{\rm RHIC},\,{\rm LHC}:\,t_{QGP}\lesssim$ 0.1 fm/c $\sim t_{c\bar{c}}$

survival of initially-produced J/ψ at FAIR/SPS energies? ($T_d \sim T_c$)

- \bullet collision time, $t_{coll}=2R/\gamma_{cm}$
 - FAIR, SPS: $t_{coll} \gtrsim t_{J/\psi}$
 - $-\,{\rm RHIC:}\; t_{coll} < t_{J/\psi}$, LHC: $t_{coll} << t_{J/\psi}$

cold nuclear suppression important at FAIR/SPS energies?



full separation of time scales at LHC energy

At collider energies there will be yet another separation of time scales. At LHC energy, the momentum of a Pb nucleus is $p_{cm}=2.76$ TeV per nucleon, leading to $\gamma_{cm}=2940$, hence $t_{coll} < 5 \cdot 10^{-3}$ fm. Even "wee" partons with momentum fraction³ $x_w = 2.5 \cdot 10^{-4}$ will pass by within a time $t_w = 1/(xp_{cm}) < 0.3$ fm, and will not destroy any charmonia since none exist at that time. We consequently expect that cold nuclear absorption will decrease from SPS to RHIC energy and should be negligible at LHC energy. First indications for this trend are visible in the PHENIX data [22].



Role of cold nuclear matter effects

what is it:

destruction of charmonia by colliding nuclei before QGP formation

- may be important at SPS and lower energies
- charmonium formation time long compared to QGP formation time, especially at LHC --> no cold nuclear matter effects at LHC

what it is not:

rapidity dependent reduction of charm and charmonium production due to shadowing or saturation energy loss effects

A. Andronic et al., Nucl. Phys. A709 (2007) 334 standard view of CNM effects, see R. Vogt, arXiv:1003.3497



Energy dependence of J/psi absorption cross section



DARMSTADT

rapidity dependence of nuclear absorption cross section

Ferreiro et al, arXiv:0912.4498 [hep-ph]

analysis of recent dAu PENIX data, significant values seen at forward rapidities





Role of non-QGP effects

investigation of 'anomalous' charmonium production in AA collisions **need to normalize charmonium production to open charm cross section in AA collisions**

pp and pA collisions are useful to study possible shadowing or saturation effects, not for charmonium suppression or enhancement in the QGP

actually, the pA or dA baseline is useless at LHC because of thermal production of charm quarks (K. Redlich and pbm, Eur. J. Phys. C16 (2000) 334)

is there any evidence for saturation or shadowing from RHIC data?? sigma_{ccbar}(AA) = N_{coll} sigma_{ccbar}(pp) ??



PHENIX data on charm cross section



PHENIX open charm cross section is close to pQCD prediction STAR value was about a factor of 2 larger ... now resolved (material) need vertex detectors! But no evidence for shadowing so far. This is an area for LHC!!! TECHNISCHE GSI

UNIVERSITĂ

DARMSTADT

A brief digression: the fireball emits hadrons from an equilibrium state

From low AGS energy on, all hadron yields in central PbPb collisions reflect grand-canonical equilibration
Strangeness suppression observed in elementary collisions is lifted
Equilibration at SIS energy?

how do we get information on the phase boundary?

For a recent review see:

pbm, Stachel, Redlich, QGP3, R. Hwa, editor, Singapore 2004, nucl-th/0304013



c, pbm, J. pbm, d. magestro, j. stachel, k. redlich,

Phys. Lett. B518 (2001) 41; see also Xu et al., Nucl. Phys. A698(2002) 306; Becattini, J. Phys. G28 (2002) 1553; Broniowski et al., nucl-th/0212052.

Peter Braun-Munzinger

Hadro-chemistry at RHIC -- weakly decaying particles

- All data in excellent agreement with thermal model predictions
- chemical freeze-out at: $T = 165 \pm 8 \text{ MeV}$
- fit uses vacuum masses most recent analysis: A. Andronic, pbm, J. Stachel, nucl-th/0511071 Nucl. Phys. A772(2006) 167







Fit to STAR data alone

very good fit, even including strongly decaying resonances

no evidence for special role of wide states



results, analysis 2008



DARMSTADT

Are charmonia (and charmed hadrons) produced thermally?

ratios of charmed and beauty hadrons exhibit thermal features (Becattini 1997) but: $(J/\psi)/\psi'$ ratio is far from thermal in pp collisions see also Sorge&Shuryak, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79 (1997) 2775, where it is further noted that the $(J/\psi)/\psi'$ ratio reaches a thermal value (T=170 MeV) in central PbPb collisions at SPS energy

further analysis by Gorenstein and Gazdzicki, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83 (1999) 4003 result: $(J/\psi)/\pi$ is approximately constant at SPS energy for PbPb

However, thermal production of charm quarks is appreciable only at very high temperatures (LHC)(T > 800 MeV, pbm&Redlich, Eur. Phys. J. C16 (2000) 519).

solution: charm quarks produced in hard collisions, then statistical hadronization at the phase boundary.



Energy loss and flow of heavy quarks

charm quark flow and large energy loss imply approach to thermal but not chemical equilibrium

nucl-ex/0611018



DARMSTADT

Elliptic flow of J/psi!!



In+In, SPS energy, NA60 collaboration

thermalization of charm quarks



TECHNIS

DARMSTADT

Transverse Momentum Distributions



no strong broadening observed as expected for initial state scattering

this is different from the situation at the SPS



Heavy quark and quarkonium production in e+ecollisions



The psi'/psi ratio in elementary and AA collisions





Ratios involving chi_c





Summary of this part

- charmonium production very different in elementary and AA collisions
- charm quark production mainly non-thermal
- at collider energies, charmonia are formed late, QGP is earlier
- no serious evidence for hadrons formed or surviving in the QGP

 \rightarrow charmonia are formed at the phase boundary like all other hadrons

 \rightarrow statistical hadronization model



Charmonium (re)generation models

- statistical hadronization model original proposal: pbm, J. Stachel, Phys. Lett. B490 (2000) 196 assumptions:
 - all charm quarks are produced in hard collisions, N_c const. in QGP
 - all charmonia are dissolved in QGP or not produced before QGP
 - charmonium production takes place at the phase boundary with statistical weights

 \rightarrow yield ~ N_c² -- quarkonium enhancement at high energies

-- no feeding from higher charmonia

- charm quark coalescence model original proposal: R.L. Thews, M. Schroedter, J. Rafelski, Phys. Rev. C63 (2001) 054905 assumptions:
 - all charm quarks are produced in hard collisions
 - all charmonia are produced in the QGP via charm quark recombination

 \rightarrow yield ~ N_c² -- quarkonium enhancement at high energies Peter Braun-Munzinger





Outcome: $N_D = g_c V n_D^{th} I_1 / I_0$ $N_{J/\psi} = g_c^2 V n_{J/\psi}^{th}$ Inputs: T, μ_B , $V = N_{ch}^{exp} / n_{ch}^{th}$, $N_{c\bar{c}}^{dir}$ (pQCD)



UNIVERSITA DARMSTAD

Parameterization of all freeze-out points



Ingredients for prediction of quarkonium and open charm cross sections

• energy dependence of temperature and baryo-chemical potential (from hadron production analysis)

•open charm (open bottom) cross section in pp or better AA collisions

• quarkonium production cross section in pp collisions (for corona part)

result: quarkonium and open charm cross sections as function of energy, centrality, rapidity, and transverse momentum

important pre-requisite: all ratios among charmonia must be thermal



annihilation fraction



TECHNIS

DARMSTADT

GSI

Recent publications:

Anton Andronic, F. Beutler, pbm, Krzysztof Redlich, Johanna Stachel

J.Phys.G35:104155,2008. e-Print: arXiv:0805.4781 [nucl-th]

PoS CPOD07:044,2007. e-Print: arXiv:0710.1851 [nucl-th]

Phys.Lett.B652:259-261,2007. e-Print: nucl-th/0701079

Nucl.Phys.A789:334-356,2007. e-Print: nucl-th/0611023

Phys. Lett. B678 (2009) 350, arXiv:0903.1610 [hep-ph]



results for SPS energy



only moderately enhanced (2 x pQCD) cc_bar cross section needed

extrapolation to pp for ψ'/ψ ratio still problematic in the model, although intuitively clear



RHIC result: nuclear modification factor



data well described by our regeneration model without any new parameters



TECHNISCI

DARMSTADT

Comparison of model predictions to RHIC data: rapidity dependence



suppression is smallest at mid-rapidity (90 deg. emission) a clear indication for regeneration at the phase boundary



Calculations including shadowing





TECHNIS

DARMSTADT

An attempt to look at near threshold production

- charm cross section unknown
- but: $N_{ccbar} \ll 1$: only diagonal terms in recombination
- independent of energy, charm production still a hard process



Extrapolation of pQCD cross section to low energies



DARMSTADT

Model predictions without any medium modifications

note in particular the role of charmed baryons

at SIS300 energies it is crucial to measure those



modification of the constituent quark masses of light (u and d) quarks (no change of J/ ψ mass, $\Delta m_{\Lambda_c}/2$ for Ξ_c)



Tsushima et al., PRC 59 (1999) 2824 [nucl-th/9810016]. Sibirtsev et al., EPJA 6 (1999) 351 [nucl-th/9904016]; PLB 484 (2000) 23 [nucl-th/9904015]. Hayashigaki, PLB 487 (2000) 96 [nucl-th/0001051]. Cassing et al., NPA 691 (2001) 753 [nucl-th/0010071]. Friman et al., PLB 548 (2002) 153 [nucl-th/0207006]. Grandchamp et al., PRL 92 (2004) 212301 [hep-ph/0306077]. Tolos et al, PLB 635 (2006) 85 [nucl-th/0509054]. Lutz, Korpa, PLB 633 (2006) 43 [nucl-th/0510006].



Results including medium modifications



GSÏ

TECHNIS

DARMSTADT

Changes for charmonium assuming scenarios 1 – 3

charmonium masses unchanged

yield of charmonium may change by up to factor of 2

difficult how to normalize



Quarkonium as a probe for deconfinement at the LHC



charmonium enhancement as fingerprint of deconfinement at LHC energy



Prediction for LHC energy: enhancement depends on charm cross section!



1 and 2: stat. hadronization
3: shadowing and regeneration in the hadronic phase only
A. Capella et al., arXiv:0712.4331 [hep-ph]



Summary

- charmonium production a fingerprint for deconfined quarks and gluons
- evidence for energy loss and flow of charm quarks --> thermalization
- normalization to open charm in AA collisions pA or dA normalization not easily applicable at LHC
- charmonium generation at the phase boundary a new process
- first indications for this from RHIC data
- no evidence for new physics near threshold
- charmonium enhancement at LHC deconfined QGP



first charm measurements in ALICE pp

D mesons, charmed baryons, charmonia, J/psi from B decays

provide input to solve longstanding problem to understand the mechanism of open charm and charmonium production in pp collisions

provide baseline for PbPb measurements

OPEN CHARM

- Heavy flavor electron inclusive spectrum
- $D^0 \rightarrow K\pi$
- $D^{\pm} \rightarrow K\pi\pi$
- $D^* \rightarrow D^0 \pi$
- $\Lambda_{c} \rightarrow pK\pi, K_{s}^{0}p, \Lambda\pi$

baryon/meson ratio

OPEN BEAUTY

- $B \rightarrow J/\psi X$
- Heavy flavor electron inclusive spectrum

QUARKONIA

- J/ψ, ψ'
- Y

D⁰, **D**⁺ and **D**^{0*} in 7 TeV pp data



first J/psi in ALICE central barrel from 110 million pp collisions at 7 TeV





Outlook

charm measurements in the LHC era:

ccbar cross section in pp and PbPb

charmonium production cross sections in pp and PbPb as function of

pt, y, centrality, reaction plane ... charmonium from B-decay 1st PbPb data in Nov. 2010

