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## Motivation: initial angular momentum



Observation of conserved quantities: important Example from L. Cifarelli, L.P. Csernai, H. Stöcker, EPN 43/22 (2012) p. 91

## Hydrodynamics: basic equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{t} n+\nabla(n \mathbf{v}) & =0, \\
\left(\partial_{t}+\mathbf{v} \nabla\right) \mathbf{v} & =-\frac{\nabla p}{m n}, \\
\partial_{t} \varepsilon+\nabla(\varepsilon \mathbf{v})+p \nabla \mathbf{v} & =0,
\end{aligned}
$$

Basic equations of non-rel hydrodynamics:
Euler equation needs to be modified for lattice QCD EoS
Use basic thermodynamical relations for lack of conserved charge (baryon free region)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon+p & =\mu n+T \sigma \\
\mathrm{~d} \varepsilon & =\mu \mathrm{d} n+T \mathrm{~d} \sigma \\
\mathrm{~d} p & =n \mathrm{~d} \mu+\sigma \mathrm{d} T,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
(\varepsilon+p)\left(\partial_{t}+\mathbf{v} \nabla\right) \mathbf{v}=-\nabla p,
$$

## Rewrite for $\mathbf{v}, \mathrm{T}$ and ( n, or $\sigma$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{t} n+\nabla(n \mathbf{v}) & =0 \\
{\left[\frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} T}(\kappa T)\right]\left(\partial_{t}+\mathbf{v} \nabla\right) T+T \nabla \mathbf{v} } & =0 \\
n m\left(\partial_{t}+\mathbf{v} \nabla\right) \mathbf{v} & =-\nabla p, \\
p & =n T .
\end{aligned}
$$

lattice QCD EoS: modification of the dynamical equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{t} \sigma+\nabla \sigma \mathbf{v} & =0, \\
(1+\kappa)\left[\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} T} \frac{\kappa T}{1+\kappa}\right]\left(\partial_{t}+\mathbf{v} \nabla\right) T+T \nabla \mathbf{v} & =0, \\
T \sigma\left(\partial_{t}+\mathbf{v} \nabla\right) \mathbf{v} & =-\nabla p, \\
p & =\frac{T \sigma}{\kappa+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Ansatz for rotation and scaling

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{v} & =\mathbf{v}_{H}+\mathbf{v}_{R} \\
\mathbf{v}_{H} & =\left(\frac{\dot{X}}{X} r_{x}, \frac{\dot{Y}}{Y} r_{y}, \frac{\dot{Z}}{Z} r_{z}\right) \\
\mathbf{v}_{R} & =\omega \times \mathbf{r}=\left(-\omega r_{y}, \omega r_{x}, 0\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

The case without rotation: known self-similar solution T. Cs, hep-ph/00111139
S. V. Akkelin et al, hep-ph/0012127, etc.

Let's try to add rotation!
$\mathcal{D} \equiv \partial_{t}+(\mathbf{v} \nabla)$,
$s_{R}=\frac{r_{x}^{2}+r_{y}^{2}}{R^{2}}$,
$s_{Z}=\frac{r_{z}^{2}}{Z^{2}}$.

First good news: scaling variable remains good $\rightarrow$ a hope to find ellipsoidal rotating solutions!

## Common properties of solutions

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{v} & =\mathbf{v}_{H}+\mathbf{v}_{R}, \\
\mathbf{v}_{H} & =\left(\frac{\dot{X}}{X} r_{x}, \frac{\dot{Y}}{Y} r_{y}, \frac{\dot{Z}}{Z} r_{z}\right), \\
\mathbf{v}_{R} & =\omega \times \mathbf{r}=\left(-\omega r_{y}, \omega r_{x}, 0\right), \\
\omega & =\omega_{0} \frac{R_{0}^{2}}{R^{2}} \\
R & =X=Y \neq Z \\
s & =s_{T}+s_{Z}=\frac{r_{x}^{2}+r_{y}^{2}}{R^{2}}+\frac{r_{Z}^{2}}{Z^{2}}, \\
V & =X Y Z=R^{2} Z
\end{aligned}
$$

From self-similarity
Ellipsoidal ->spheroidal
time dependence of $R$ and $\omega$ coupled

Conservation law!

## Solutions for conserved particle $n$

Similar to irrotational case:

Family of self-similar solutions, T profile free
T. Cs, hep-ph/00111139

Rotation leads to increased transverse acceleration!

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n=n_{0} \frac{V_{0}}{V} \nu(s), \\
& T=T_{0}\left(\frac{V_{0}}{V}\right)^{1 / \kappa} \mathcal{T}(s), \\
& \nu(s)=\frac{1}{\mathcal{T}(s)} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{s} \frac{\mathrm{~d} u}{\mathcal{T}(u)}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

## Role of temperature profiles



## 1B: conserved n, T dependent e/p

Works only if $T$ is $T(t)$ only
But more general EoS
Similar to
T. Cs. et al,

$$
n=n_{0} \frac{V_{0}}{V} \exp (-s / 2)
$$

hep-ph/0108067

$$
T \equiv T(t)
$$



$$
\frac{d(\kappa T)}{d T} \frac{\dot{T}}{T}+\frac{\dot{V}}{V}=0
$$

Rotation: increases transverse acceleration

## Solutions for lattice QCD type EoS

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\sigma & =\sigma_{0} \frac{V_{0}}{V} \mathcal{S}(s), \\
T & =T_{0}\left(\frac{V_{0}}{V}\right)^{1 / \kappa} \mathcal{T}(s), \\
\mathcal{S}(s) & =\frac{1}{\mathcal{T}(s)} \exp (-s / 2), \\
\sigma & =\sigma_{0} \frac{V_{0}}{V} \exp (-s / 2), \\
R & \equiv T(t), \\
R \ddot{R}-R^{2} \omega^{2}=Z \ddot{Z}=\frac{1}{1+\kappa} . & R \ddot{R}-R^{2} \omega^{2}
\end{array}\right) Z Z \ddot{Z}=\frac{1}{1+\kappa(T)},
$$

Two different class of solutions: $p / e=\operatorname{const}(T)$ or $p / e=f(T)$ Notes: increased acceleration (vs conserved n) Observables calculable if $\sigma \sim \mathrm{n}$ (final) (Landau)

## First integrals: Hamiltonian motion

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{1 A} & =\frac{P_{x}^{2}+P_{y}^{2}+P_{z}^{2}}{2 m}+\kappa T_{0}\left(\frac{X_{0} Y_{0} Z_{0}}{X Y Z}\right)^{1 / \kappa}+\frac{2 m \omega_{0}^{2} R_{0}^{4}}{X^{2}+Y^{2}}, \\
X_{0} & =Y_{0}=R_{0}, \\
\dot{X}_{0} & =\dot{Y}_{0}=\dot{R}_{0} . \\
H_{2 A} & =\frac{P_{x}^{2}+P_{y}^{2}+P_{z}^{2}}{2 m}-\frac{m}{1+\kappa} \ln \left(\frac{X Y Z}{X_{0} Y_{0} Z_{0}}\right)+\frac{2 m \omega_{0}^{2} R_{0}^{4}}{X^{2}+Y^{2}}, \\
X_{0} & =Y_{0}=R_{0}, \\
\dot{X}_{0} & =\dot{Y}_{0}=\dot{R}_{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
E_{R}=\frac{2 m \omega_{0}^{2} R_{0}^{4}}{X^{2}+Y^{2}}=2 m R^{2} \omega^{2}, \quad I_{z}=\Theta \omega=m R^{2} \omega_{0} \frac{R_{0}^{2}}{R^{2}}=m \omega_{0} R_{0}^{2}
$$

$1 \mathrm{~A}: \mathrm{n}$ is conserved $2 A$ : $n$ is not conseerved

Angular momentum conserved Energy in rotation $\rightarrow 0$

## Summary so far: rotating solutions

New and rotating
exact solutions of fireball hydro
Also for lattice QCD family of EoS
Now analyzed in detail
(after 53 years)
Important observation:
Rotation leads to stronger radial expansion IQCD EoS leads to stronger radial expansion

Perhaps connected to large radial flows in RHIC and LHC data

Observables:
Next slides

## Observables from rotating solutions

$$
\begin{aligned}
n\left(t, \mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right) & =n_{0} \frac{V_{0}}{V} \exp \left(-\frac{r_{x}^{\prime 2}}{2 X^{2}}-\frac{r_{y}^{\prime 2}}{2 Y^{2}}-\frac{r_{z}^{\prime 2}}{2 Z^{2}}\right) \\
\mathbf{v}^{\prime}\left(t, \mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right) & =\left(\frac{\dot{X}}{X} r_{x}^{\prime}, \frac{\dot{Y}}{Y} r_{y}^{\prime}, \frac{\dot{Z}}{Z} r_{z}^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Note: ( $r^{\prime}, k^{\prime}$ ) in fireball frame rotated wrt lab frame ( $\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{k}$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ddot{X} X-X^{2} \omega^{2}=\ddot{Y} Y=\ddot{Z} Z-Z^{2} \omega^{2} & =\frac{T}{m} \\
\dot{T} \frac{d}{d T}(\kappa T)+T\left(\frac{\dot{X}}{X}+\frac{\dot{Y}}{Y}+\frac{\dot{Z}}{Z}\right) & =0, \\
X & =Z \equiv R \\
\dot{X} & =\dot{Z} \equiv \dot{R}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note: in this talk, rotation in the (X,Z) impact parameter plane !

## Role of EOS on acceleration

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ddot{X} X-X^{2} \omega^{2}=\ddot{Y} Y=\ddot{Z} Z-Z^{2} \omega^{2}=\frac{1}{1+\kappa} . \\
& \ddot{X} X-X^{2} \omega^{2}=\ddot{Y} Y=\ddot{Z} Z-Z^{2} \omega^{2}=\frac{T}{m},
\end{aligned}
$$

Lattice QCD type Eos is explosive

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta(t) & =\theta_{0}+\int \mathrm{d} t \omega(t) \\
\omega & =\omega_{0} \frac{R_{0}^{2}}{R^{2}} \\
R & =X=Z \neq Y \\
s & =s_{T}+s_{Z}=\frac{r_{x}^{2}+r_{z}^{2}}{R^{2}}+\frac{r_{y}^{2}}{Y^{2}} \\
V & =X Y Z=R^{2} Y
\end{aligned}
$$

Tilt angle $\theta$ integrates rotation in ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Z}$ ) plane: sensitive to EoS!

## Single particle spectra

$$
\begin{aligned}
E \frac{d^{3} n}{d \mathbf{k}^{\prime}} & \propto E \exp \left(-\frac{k_{x}^{\prime 2}}{2 m T_{x}^{\prime}}-\frac{k_{y}^{\prime 2}}{2 m T_{y}^{\prime}}-\frac{k_{z}^{2}}{2 m T_{z}^{\prime}}\right) \\
T_{x}^{\prime} & =T_{f}+m\left(\dot{X}_{f}^{2}+\omega_{f}^{2} Z_{f}^{2}\right) \\
T_{y}^{\prime} & =T_{f}+m \dot{Y}_{f}^{2} \\
T_{z}^{\prime} & =T_{f}+m\left(\dot{Z}_{f}^{2}+\omega_{f}^{2} X_{f}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In the rest frame of the fireball:

Rotation increases effective temperatures both in the longitudinal and impact parameter direction
in addition to Hubble flows

## Directed, elliptic and other flows

From the single particle spectra
$\rightarrow$ flow coefficents $v_{n}$

$$
\frac{d^{3} n}{d k_{z} k_{t} d k_{t} d \phi}=\frac{d^{2} n}{2 \pi d k_{z} k_{t} d k_{t}}\left[1+2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} v_{n} \cos (n \phi)\right]
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_{1} & =0 \\
v_{2} & =\frac{I_{1}(w)}{I_{0}(w)} \\
v_{3} & =0, \ldots \\
v_{2 n} & =\frac{I_{n}(w)}{I_{0}(w)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note:
model is fully analytic
As of now, only $X=Z=R(t)$ spheroidal solutions are found
$\rightarrow$ vanishing odd order flows
See next talk for fluctuations

## Reminder: Universal w scaling of $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{2}}$



$$
\frac{I_{1}(w)}{I_{0}(w)}
$$

Rotation does not change v2 scaling, but it modifies radial flow

Black line: Buda-Lund prediction from 2003 nucl-th/0310040

Comparision with data: nucl-th/0512078 Note: v2 data depend on particle type, centrality, colliding energy, rapidity, pt

## Details of universal w scaling of $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{2}}$



Csörgő, T.

## HBT radfi for rotating spheroids

## Diagonal Gaussians in natural frame

$$
\begin{aligned}
C\left(\mathbf{K}^{\prime}, \mathbf{q}^{\prime}\right) & =1+\lambda \exp \left(-q_{x}^{\prime 2} R_{x}^{\prime 2}-q_{y}^{\prime 2} R_{y}^{\prime 2}-q_{z}^{\prime 2} R_{z}^{\prime 2}\right), \\
\mathbf{K}^{\prime} & =\mathbf{K}_{12}^{\prime}=0.5\left(\mathbf{k}_{1}^{\prime}+\mathbf{k}_{2}^{\prime}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{x}^{\prime-2} & =X_{f}^{-2}\left(1+\frac{m}{T_{f}}\left(\dot{X}_{f}^{2}+Z_{f}^{2} \omega_{f}^{2}\right)\right), \\
R_{y}^{\prime-2} & =Y_{f}^{-2}\left(1+\frac{m}{T_{f}} \dot{Y}_{f}^{2}\right), \\
R_{z}^{\prime-2} & =Z_{f}^{-2}\left(1+\frac{m}{T_{f}}\left(\dot{Z}_{f}^{2}+X_{f}^{2} \omega_{f}^{2}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

New terms with blue
$\rightarrow$ Rotation decreases HBT radii similarly to Hubble flow.

## HBT radfi in the lab frame

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{2}(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{q}) & =1+\lambda \exp \left(-\sum_{i, j=\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{l}} q_{i} q_{j} R_{i j}^{2}\right) \\
R_{\mathrm{s}}^{2} & =R_{y}^{\prime 2} \cos ^{2} \phi+R_{x}^{2} \sin ^{2} \phi \\
R_{\mathrm{o}}^{2} & =R_{x}^{2} \cos ^{2} \phi+R_{y}^{\prime 2} \sin ^{2} \phi+\beta_{t}^{2} \Delta t^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{1}^{2} & =R_{z}^{\prime 2} \cos ^{2} \theta+R_{x}^{\prime 2} \sin ^{2} \theta+\beta_{1}^{2} \Delta t^{2}, \\
R_{\mathrm{ol}}^{2} & =\left(R_{x}^{\prime 2}-R_{z}^{\prime 2}\right) \cos \theta \sin \theta \cos \phi+\beta_{t} \beta_{1} \Delta t^{2}, \\
R_{\mathrm{os}}^{2} & =\left(R_{x}^{2}-R_{y}^{\prime 2}\right) \cos \phi \sin \phi, \\
R_{\mathrm{sl}}^{2} & =\left(R_{x}^{\prime 2}-R_{z}^{\prime 2}\right) \cos \theta \sin \theta \sin \phi,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
R_{x}^{2}=R_{x}^{\prime 2} \cos ^{2} \theta+R_{z}^{\prime 2} \sin ^{2} \theta
$$

## HBT radif in the lab frame

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{2}(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{q})=1+\lambda \exp \left(-\sum_{i, j=\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{l}} q_{i} q_{j} R_{i j}^{2}\right), \\
& \begin{array}{l}
R_{\mathrm{s}}^{2}=R_{y}^{\prime 2} \cos \\
R_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}=R_{x}^{2} \cos ^{2}
\end{array} C_{2}(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{q})=1+\lambda \exp \left(-\sum_{i, j=\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{o}, 1} q_{i} q_{j} R_{i j}^{2}\right), \\
& R_{1}^{2}=R_{z}^{\prime 2} \cos ^{2} \theta+ \\
& R_{\mathrm{ol}}^{2}=\left(R_{x}^{\prime 2}-R_{z}^{\prime 2}\right) \\
& R_{\mathrm{os}}^{2}=\left(R_{x}^{2}-R_{y}^{2}\right) \\
& R_{\mathrm{sl}}^{2}=\left(R_{x}^{\prime 2}-R_{z}^{\prime 2}\right) \\
& R_{x}^{2}=R_{x}^{\prime 2} \cos ^{2} \theta+I \\
& R_{\mathrm{s}}^{2}=R_{y}^{2} \cos ^{2} \phi+R_{x}^{2} \sin ^{2} \phi, \\
& R_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}=R_{x}^{2} \cos ^{2} \phi+R_{y}^{\prime 2} \sin ^{2} \phi+\beta_{t}^{2} \Delta t^{2} \text {, } \\
& R_{1}^{2}=R_{z}^{\prime 2} \cos ^{2} \theta+R_{x}^{\prime 2} \sin ^{2} \theta+\beta_{1}^{2} \Delta t^{2}, \\
& R_{\mathrm{ol}}^{2}=0+\beta_{t} \beta_{1} \Delta t^{2}, \\
& R_{\mathrm{os}}^{2}=\left(R_{x}^{2}-R_{y}^{\prime 2}\right) \cos \phi \sin \phi, \\
& R_{\mathrm{sl}}^{2}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

But spheriodal symmetry of the solution
Makes several cross terms vanish $\rightarrow$ need for ellipsoidal solutions

## Qualitatively rotation and flow similar




But need more time dependent calculations
and less academic studies (relativistic solutions)

## Summary

## Observables calculated

## Effects of rotation and flow

 Combine and have same mass dependenceSpectra: slope increases $v_{2}$ : universal w scaling remains valid HBT radii:
Decrease with mass intensifies
Even for spherical expansions: $v_{2}$ from rotation.

Picture: vulcano How to detect the rotation? Next step: penetrating probes
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