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## Big Questions: <br> What is the universe made of? <br> How does it work? <br> How did it evolve?
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## Constituents of Matter
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Experiment

## Space-time evolution in Collider Experiments


https://particlesandfriends.wordpress.com/2016/10/14/evolution-of-collisions-and-qgp/

- There are two possible scenarios of the space-time evolution in collider experiments depending upon the system size and the collision energy
- One assumes the formation of a deconfined thermalised state of the deconfined quarks and gluons known as the quark-gluon plasma (QGP) led by the pre-equilibrium phase and followed by a mixed phase and hadron gas phase (larger system size and denser partonic medium)
- Another scenario involves the prehadronic phase followed by the hadron gas phase (small collision scenario)


## Coordinate System

- Transverse Momentum, $p_{T}=\sqrt{p_{x}^{2}+p_{y}^{2}}$
- Azimuthal Angle, $\phi=\tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{p_{y}}{p_{x}}\right)$
- Polar angle, $\theta=\tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{p_{T}}{p_{z}}\right)$

- Pseudo-rapidity, $\eta=-\ln \left(\tan \frac{\theta}{2}\right)$
- Every produced particle is represented in terms of their $\left(p_{\mathrm{T}}, \eta, \phi\right)$


## Quarkonia

- Quarkonia is a bound state of heavy quark and antiquark pairs
- Due to its heavy mass, quarkonia studies in QGP are important as it experiences the whole medium evolution
- Serve as the testing ground for QCD


$$
R_{\mathrm{AA}}=\frac{d^{2} N_{\mathrm{AA}} / d \eta \mathrm{~d} p_{\mathrm{T}}}{\left\langle N_{\mathrm{coll}}\right\rangle d^{2} N_{\mathrm{pp}} / d \eta d p_{\mathrm{T}}}
$$



- Charmonia ( $c \bar{c}$ ) and bottomonia (b $\overline{\mathrm{b}})$
- Suppression increased towards higher $\left\langle N_{\text {part }}\right\rangle$ due to the denser partonic medium: more screening
https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/ReteQuarkonii/ReteQuarkonii


## Topological production of J $/ \psi$

- J/ $\Psi$ meson: Vector charmonium with lightest mass $\left(3.096 \mathrm{GeV} / c^{2}\right)$
- In experiments, dileptonic channels are used to reconstruct $\mathrm{J} / \psi .\left(\mathrm{J} / \psi \rightarrow \mu^{+}+\mu^{-}\right.$or $\left.\mathrm{J} / \psi \rightarrow e^{+}+e^{-}\right)$
- Prompt Production: Direct production/ decay of heavier charmonium states
- Non-prompt Production: Products of beauty hadron weak decays (Opportunity to study b-hadron)
- Prompt and non-prompt J/ $\psi$ are topologically different thus they both show different values of suppression



## Experimental Procedure

- In experiments, the invariant mass of dilepton pairs are estimated: $M_{e e}=\sqrt{\left(E_{1}+E_{2}\right)^{2}-\left(\left|\overrightarrow{p_{1}}+\overrightarrow{p_{2}}\right|\right)^{2}}$
- Using the vertexing information from the detectors, the pseudoproper decay length $(c \tau)$ is estimated: $c \tau=\frac{c m_{\mathrm{J} / \psi} \vec{L} \cdot \overrightarrow{p_{\mathrm{T}}}}{\left|\overrightarrow{p_{\mathrm{T}}}\right|^{2}}$
- One performs a simultaneous fit to the invariant mass signal and pseudoproper decay length to obtain fraction of nonprompt yield ( $f_{\mathrm{B}}$ )
- For the fitting, the PDFs for prompt and non-prompt are usually taken from MC simulations
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## Machine Learning

"Machine learning is the field of study that gives computers the ability to learn without being explicitly programmed."
-Arthur Samuel, 1959


SUPERVISED LEARNING

UNSUPERVISED REINFORCEMENT LEARNING REINFORCEM
LEARNING



What it needs?

- Big data
- Smart algorithm (BDT, DNN, GNN etc.)
- Knowledge from data
- Tune the parameters (Optimise the model)
- Predict!!





## Preparing the inputs

- PYTHIA8 is used as the MC generator to generate 20 billion minimum bias events for pp collisions at $\sqrt{s}=13 \mathrm{TeV}$ using 4C-tune
- The coordinates of the primary vertex are randomised following a Gaussian distribution
- $\mathrm{J} / \psi \rightarrow \mu^{+}+\mu^{-}$channel is used to reconstruct invariant mass $\left(m_{\mu \mu}\right)$, transverse momentum ( $p_{T, \mu \mu}$ ), pseudorapidity $\left(\eta_{\mu \mu}\right)$ and rapidity $\left(y_{\mu \mu}\right)$ of the dimuons
- Pseudoproper decay length $(c \tau)$ of the reconstructed dimuon pairs along with $m_{\mu \mu}, p_{T, \mu \mu}$, and $\eta_{\mu \mu}$ are taken as inputs

$$
c \tau=\frac{c m_{\mathrm{J} / \psi} \vec{L} \cdot \overrightarrow{p_{\mathrm{T}}}}{\left|\overrightarrow{p_{\mathrm{T}}}\right|^{2}}
$$


$\vec{V}=$ Primary Vertex
$\vec{S}=$ Reconstructed $\mathrm{J} / \psi$ decay vertex

$$
S_{x}=\frac{\left(t_{1}+x_{1} m_{1} / p_{x, 1}\right)-\left(t_{2}+x_{2} m_{2} / p_{x, 2}\right)}{m_{1} / p_{x, 1}-m_{2} / p_{x, 2}}
$$

## Model parameters

- Background : Prompt : Non-prompt = 20 : $10: 1$
- Classification models required to be trained on similar number of training instances $\rightarrow$ oversampling of data is done
- Dataset for Training : Testing : Validation = 81:10:9
- Parameters are chosen through a grid search method (Making an array of all possible parameters and training to find the parameter values for minimum loss)

XGB


|  | XGB | LGBM |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Learning rate | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| Sub-sample | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| No. of trees | 60 | 60 |
| Maximum depth | 3 | 3 |
| Objective | softmax | softmax |
| Metric | mlogloss | multilogloss |

S. Prasad, N. Mallick and R. Sahoo, Phys. Rev. D 109, 014005 (2024)

- Loss saturates around 25 trees and 45 trees for XGB and LGBM
- Training and validation curves are on top of each other $\rightarrow$ No overfitting/underfitting



## Model performance



- Confusion Matrix talks about the mispredictions given by the model for each class
- Both XGB and LGBM perfectly separates the inclusive $J / \psi$ from the uncorrelated background pairs
- Both models mispredict $2 \%$ of prompt $\mathrm{J} / \psi$ as the non-prompt $\rightarrow$ Raises non-prompt yield
S. Prasad, N. Mallick and R. Sahoo, Phys. Rev. D 109, 014005 (2024)



## Results: Transverse momentum spectra





- Both XGB and LGBM give accurate predictions for $p_{\mathrm{T}}$-spectra for inclusive and prompt-J/ $\psi$ both in mid and forward rapidity in pp collisions at $\sqrt{\mathrm{s}}=13 \mathrm{TeV}$ and 7 TeV
S. Prasad, N. Mallick and R. Sahoo, Phys. Rev. D 109, 014005 (2024)
- The ML models overpredict the non-prompt $\mathrm{J} / \psi$ throughout the $p_{\mathrm{T}}$ spectra for both the collision energy and rapidity
$\rightarrow$ Expected from the confusion matrix


## Results: Fraction of non-prompt $\mathrm{J} / \psi$ yield



- $f_{\mathrm{B}}$ is the fraction of the non-prompt production (Bhadron decays)
- $f_{\mathrm{B}}$ increases with increase in $p_{\mathrm{T}} \rightarrow$ The b-hadron production is favoured towards higher $p_{\mathrm{T}}$ compared to low $p_{T}$
- PYTHIA8 underestimates the experimental data following the similar trend
- Both XGB and LGBM overestimate PYTHIA8
- As this method does not require fitting, thus it can be used in both low and high statistics without affecting its efficiency


## Results: Rapidity spectra

- Both XGB and LGBM give accurate predictions for rapidity spectra for inclusive and prompt-J/ $\psi$ in pp collisions at $\sqrt{\mathrm{s}}=13 \mathrm{TeV}$ and 7 TeV
- The ML models overpredict the non-prompt $\mathrm{J} / \psi$ throughout rapidity region for both the collision energies


S. Prasad, N. Mallick and R. Sahoo, Phys. Rev. D 109, 014005 (2024)


## Results: Normalised J/ $\psi$ yield



S. Prasad, N. Mallick and R. Sahoo, Phys. Rev. D 109, 014005 (2024)

- The normalised yield for inclusive J/ $\psi$ from PYTHIA8 matches qualitatively with the ALICE results
- Both XGB and LGBM reproduce the PYTHIA8 results very precisely for inclusive and prompt J/ $\psi$
- The predictions for non-prompt $\mathrm{J} / \psi$ from both XGB and LGBM matches PYTHIA8 findings within 10\% uncertainty


## Summary

- We have used BDT based ML models such as XGBoost and LGBM to segregate the prompt, non-prompt and inclusive $\mathrm{J} / \psi$ production in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s}=13 \mathrm{TeV}$
- The models use the parameters, such as, pseudo-proper decay length ( $c \tau$ ), invariant mass ( $m_{\mu \mu}$ ), transverse momentum $\left(p_{T, \mu \mu}\right)$, pseudorapidity $\left(\eta_{\mu \mu}\right)$ of the dimuons as the input, which are accessible in the experiments
- The model almost achieves $99 \%$ overall accuracy
- The estimations for the prompt and inclusive $J / \psi$ from the ML models match with the PYTHIA8 for the inclusive and prompt J/ $\psi$
- Using this models, track label identification is possible, and it avoids the necessity of fitting of spectra
- The model is expected to work throughout the energy regime from RHIC to LHC and in heavy-ion collisions


## Thank you

## for your attention

## Backup

## Strong Interaction

- Unlike QED, in QCD gluons have a color charge, which permits gluongluon interaction
- Color charges can't freely exist: Color confinement
- At high energies, $\alpha_{s}$ becomes smaller: Asymptotic freedom



## Gradient Boosting Machine

- Trees are structures that take recursive decisions
- Built in a top-down approach
- Root node: The starting point

Internal nodes: further decision points
Leaf nodes: End points (target class or values)

- Criteria of splitting:

Classification: Minimise the node impurity
Regression: Minimise the MSE, MAE

- Splitting continues till a preset (max_depth)

MSE: Mean Squared Error MAE: Mean Absolute Error
 Leafwise splitting of tree, low memory use and supports parallel boosting

- Boosting: Building an additive forward staged model by combining the outcomes of all previous ones
- Boosting compensates the shortcomings
- Shortcomings are identified as the gradients


## Gradient Boosting Machine

- Root Node: It is the topmost node in the tree, which represents the complete dataset. It is the starting point of the decisionmaking process.
- Decision/Internal Node: A node that symbolizes a choice regarding an input feature. Branching off of internal nodes connects them to leaf nodes or other internal nodes.
- Leaf/Terminal Node: A node without any child nodes that indicates a class label or a numerical value

- Two Methods for making an ensemble of decision trees: Boosting and bagging
- Bagging method builds models in parallel using a random subset of data (sampling with replacement) and aggregates predictions of all models
- Boosting method builds models in sequence using the whole data, with each model improving on the previous model's error
- Gradient Boosting: Gradient descent + boosting
- Gradient descent: Minima finding algorithm


## XGBoost

## LightGBM

- Extreme Gradient Boosting

- Faster and memory efficient compared to GBDT
- Supports CPU parallelization
- Light Gradient Boosting Machine

- Faster and very light in memory compared to GBDT and XGB
- Supports CPU and GPU parallelization


## Corrections in the Predictions




$$
Y_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{corr}}=\frac{Y_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{uncorr}}}{1-\mathrm{f}}
$$

$Y_{\mathrm{np}, \mathrm{i}}^{\text {corr }}=Y_{\mathrm{np}, \mathrm{i}}^{\text {uncorr }}-\frac{\mathrm{f}}{1-\mathrm{f}} \frac{Y_{\mathrm{np}, \mathrm{i}}^{\text {uncorr }} Y_{\mathrm{p}}^{\text {uncorr }}}{Y_{\mathrm{np}}^{\text {uncorr }}}$

